

**FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1**  
**ROSARIO UTILITIES, LLC WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION .....	4
GENERAL INFORMATION .....	4
BACKGROUND INFORMATION .....	5
DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY .....	5
Collection System Status .....	5
Treatment Processes.....	5
Discharge Outfall .....	5
Residual Solids.....	5
PERMIT STATUS.....	6
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT .....	6
WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION .....	6
PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS.....	6
DESIGN CRITERIA .....	7
TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS .....	7
SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS .....	8
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life.....	9
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Human Health.....	9
Narrative Criteria .....	9
Antidegradation.....	9
Critical Conditions .....	9
Mixing Zones .....	10
Description of the Receiving Water.....	10
Surface Water Quality Criteria .....	10
Consideration of Surface Water Quality-Based Limits for Numeric Criteria .....	10
Human Health .....	11
Sediment Quality .....	11
GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS.....	12
MONITORING requirements .....	13
LAB ACCREDITATION .....	13
OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS .....	13
REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING .....	13
PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING .....	13
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M).....	13
RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING.....	14
Wastewater Permit Required .....	14
Requirements for Routine Identification and Reporting of Industrial Users .....	14
Duty to Enforce Discharge Prohibitions .....	14
OUTFALL EVALUATION .....	15
GENERAL CONDITIONS .....	15

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*

*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES .....	15
PERMIT MODIFICATIONS .....	15
RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE .....	15
REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES.....	16
APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION.....	17
APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY .....	18
APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS .....	23

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Federal Clean Water Act (FCWA, 1972, and later modifications, 1977, 1981, and 1987) established water quality goals for the navigable (surface) waters of the United States. One of the mechanisms for achieving the goals of the Clean Water Act is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System of permits (NPDES permits), which is administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA has delegated responsibility to administer the NPDES permit program to the State of Washington on the basis of Chapter 90.48 RCW which defines the Department of Ecology's authority and obligations in administering the wastewater discharge permit program.

The regulations adopted by the State include procedures for issuing permits (Chapter 173-220 WAC), technical criteria for discharges from municipal wastewater treatment facilities (Chapter 173-221 WAC), water quality criteria for surface and ground waters (Chapters 173-201A and 200 WAC), and sediment management standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC). These regulations require that a permit be issued before discharge of wastewater to waters of the state is allowed. The regulations also establish the basis for effluent limitations and other requirements which are to be included in the permit. One of the requirements (WAC 173-220-060) for issuing a permit under the NPDES permit program is the preparation of a draft permit and an accompanying fact sheet. Public notice of the availability of the draft permit is required at least thirty (30) days before the permit is issued (WAC 173-220-050). The fact sheet and draft permit are available for review (see Appendix A--Public Involvement of the fact sheet for more detail on the public notice procedures).

The fact sheet and draft permit have been reviewed by the Permittee. Errors and omissions identified in this review have been corrected before going to public notice. After the public comment period has closed, the Department will summarize the substantive comments and the response to each comment. The summary and response to comments will become part of the file on the permit and parties submitting comments will receive a copy of the Department's response. The fact sheet will not be revised. Comments and the resultant changes to the permit will be summarized in Appendix D--Response to Comments.

<b>GENERAL INFORMATION</b>	
Applicant	Rosario Utilities, LLC
Facility Name and Address	Rosario Utilities, LLC 1400 Rosario Road Eastsound, Washington 98245
Type of Treatment	Aerated Lagoon System - Secondary Treatment
Discharge Location	Cascade Bay (East Sound) Latitude: 48° 38' 42" N                      Longitude: 122° 52' 48" W
Water Body ID Number	WA-02-0030

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

### *DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY*

The Wastewater Treatment facility consists of two aerated lagoon cells followed by a chlorine contact chamber, and a Parshall Flume for effluent flow measurement. Secondary treated effluent is discharged via a four (4) inch PVC outfall pipe into Cascade Bay (East Sound ). As stated in the permit application, the discharge is 200 feet offshore and 60 feet below MLLW.

### **HISTORY**

#### **COLLECTION SYSTEM STATUS**

The collection system consists of gravity and pressure sewer and includes an influent pump station that lifts the raw sewage approximately 400 feet uphill to the wastewater treatment facility. There are two small wet wells in the system, one for the mansion for the surrounding building and the other for the restaurant that discharges wastewater to the main wet well at the influent pump station. Two macerators in the pump station are used to grind up solids in the raw sewage prior to pumping it to the lagoon.

The wastewater from Moran State Park is intercepted at the hill top site and discharged directly into the aerated lagoon influent structure. The boat marina has approximately 30 slips, however, there are no storage tanks or a pump-out facility at the marina.

The extent of infiltration/inflow (I/I) in the collection system is not known. The maximum monthly flow to the treatment facility in the last two years was 70 percent of the design capacity.

#### **TREATMENT PROCESSES**

The wastewater treatment consists of an aerated lagoon system which was built in 1981. Design criteria for the WWTP is monthly flow of 0.07 mgd and 85% BOD and TSS removal. Treatment is accomplished in a two-cell lagoon system located on a hill of the resort. The effluent from the lagoons is chlorinated and piped to Cascade Bay on East Sound, Puget Sound, Class AA Marine Waters.

#### **DISCHARGE OUTFALL**

Secondary treated and disinfected effluent is discharged from the facility via a 4-inch PVC outfall pipe into Cascade Bay (East Sound). The discharge point is 200 feet offshore and 60 feet below mean lower low water (MLLW).

#### **RESIDUAL SOLIDS**

The treatment facilities remove solids during the treatment of the wastewater at the headworks (grit and screenings), and at the primary and secondary clarifiers, in addition to incidental solids (rags, scum, and other debris) removed as part of the routine maintenance of the equipment. Grit, rags, scum, and screenings are drained and disposed of as solid waste at the local landfill.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

**PERMIT STATUS**

The previous permit for this facility was issued on June 15, 1995. The previous permit placed effluent limitations on 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), pH, and Fecal Coliform Bacteria.

An application for permit renewal was submitted to the Department on December 15, 1999, and accepted by the Department on December 17, 1999.

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT**

The facility received its last inspection on March 2000. A compliance inspection with sampling was conducted on March 28, 2000.

During the inspection, it was determined that the fecal coliform was high and the solids removal was very low. The facility was understaffed. The Rosario facility needs a dedicated operator that can maintain the equipment and operate the wastewater treatment plant. The laboratory needs retrofitting and there are signs of poor maintenance. Standby power and an alarm system are needed in the event of lift station failure.

During the history of the previous permit, the Permittee has remained in compliance most of the time. However, there were 6 BOD<sub>5</sub> violations, 15 Total Residual Chlorine, 6 Fecal Coliform, and 13 Total Suspended Solids violations. These violations were obtained from Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR) submitted to the Department and inspections conducted by the Department. The Department is working with Rosario Resort to remedy the violations.

**WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION**

The concentration of pollutants in the discharge was reported in the NPDES application and in discharge monitoring reports. The effluent is characterized as follows:

**Table 1: Wastewater Characterization**

Parameter	Influent Annual Average	Effluent Annual Average
Flow, MGD	0.022	0.022
BOD <sub>5</sub> , mg/l		
Temperature, F°	N/A	63
Total Suspended Solids, mg/l	N/A	18.6
Fecal Coliform		
Chlorine, Total Residual, mg/l	N/A	0.6
Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/l	N/A	10.1

**PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS**

Federal and State regulations require that effluent limitations set forth in a NPDES permit must be either technology- or water quality-based. Technology-based limitations for municipal discharges are set by regulation (40 CFR 133, and Chapters 173-220 and 173-221 WAC). Water quality-based limitations are based upon compliance with the Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), Ground Water Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC), Sediment Quality Standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) or the National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992). The most stringent of these types of limits must be chosen for each of the parameters of concern. Each of these types of limits is described in more detail below.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

The limits in this permit are based in part on information received in the application. The effluent constituents in the application were evaluated on a technology- and water quality-basis. The limits necessary to meet the rules and regulations of the State of Washington were determined and included in this permit. Ecology does not develop effluent limits for all pollutants that may be reported on the application as present in the effluent. Some pollutants are not treatable at the concentrations reported, are not controllable at the source, are not listed in regulation, and do not have a reasonable potential to cause a water quality violation. If significant changes occur in any constituent, as described in 40 CFR 122.42(a), the Permittee is required to notify the Department of Ecology.

*DESIGN CRITERIA*

In accordance with WAC 173-220-150 (1)(g), flows or waste loadings shall not exceed approved design criteria.

The design criteria for this treatment facility are taken from the engineering report for design of Biological Wastewater Treatment Plant at Rosario Resort Hotel, December 5, 1980. The report was prepared by Howard Eddie, Inc., and approved by the Department of Ecology, February 11, 1981.

**Table 2: Design Standards for Rosario Resort Limited Partnership**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Design Quantity</b>
Monthly Average Flow (max. month)	0.071 MGD
BOD <sub>5</sub> Influent Loading	237 lbs./day
TSS Influent Loading	178 lbs./day

*TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS*

Municipal wastewater treatment plants are a category of discharger for which technology-based effluent limits have been promulgated by federal and state regulations. These effluent limitations are given in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 40 CFR Part 133 (federal) and in Chapter 173-221 WAC (state). These regulations are performance standards that constitute all known available and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment for municipal wastewater.

The following technology-based limits for pH, fecal coliform, BOD<sub>5</sub>, and TSS are taken from Chapter 173-221 WAC are:

**Table 3: Technology-based Limits**

Parameter	Limit
pH:	Shall be within the range of 6 to 9 standard units.
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Monthly Geometric Mean = 200 organisms/100 mL Weekly Geometric Mean = 400 organisms/100 mL
BOD <sub>5</sub> (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 30 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 45 mg/L
TSS (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 30 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 45 mg/L
Chlorine	Daily Maximum = 1.0 mg/L

The technology-based residual chlorine limit is lower than the water quality-based chlorine limit. As a result, the technology-based chlorine limit of 1.0 mg/l is being used in this permit.

The existing permit has a chlorine limit of 1.0 mg/l and the facility is able to comply with it. The proposed permit includes the same limit.

The following technology-based mass limits are based on WAC 173-220-130(3)(b) and 173-221-030(11)(b).

Monthly effluent mass loadings (lbs./day) were calculated as the maximum monthly design flow (0.071 MGD) x concentration limit (30 mg/L) x 8.34 (conversion factor) = 17.76 lbs./day.

The weekly average effluent mass loading is calculated as 1.5 x monthly loading = 26.65 lbs./day.

#### ***SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS***

In order to protect existing water quality and preserve the designated beneficial uses of Washington's surface waters, WAC 173-201A-060 states that waste discharge permits shall be conditioned such that the discharge will meet established Surface Water Quality Standards. The Washington State Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC) is a state regulation designed to protect the beneficial uses of the surface waters of the state. Water quality-based effluent limitations may be based on an individual waste load allocation (WLA) or on a WLA developed during a basin-wide total maximum daily loading study (TMDL).



*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE

"Numerical" water quality criteria are numerical values set forth in the State of Washington's Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters (Chapter 173-201A WAC). They specify the levels of pollutants allowed in a receiving water while remaining protective of aquatic life. Numerical criteria set forth in the Water Quality Standards are used along with chemical and physical data for the wastewater and receiving water to derive the effluent limits in the discharge permit. When surface water quality-based limits are more stringent or potentially more stringent than technology-based limitations, they must be used in a permit.

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH

The state was issued 91 numeric water quality criteria for the protection of human health by the U.S. EPA (EPA 1992). These criteria are designed to protect humans from cancer and other disease and are primarily applicable to fish and shellfish consumption and drinking water from surface waters.

NARRATIVE CRITERIA

In addition to numerical criteria, "narrative" water quality criteria (WAC 173-201A-030) limit toxic, radioactive, or deleterious material concentrations below those which have the potential to adversely affect characteristic water uses, cause acute or chronic toxicity to biota, impair aesthetic values, or adversely affect human health. Narrative criteria protect the specific beneficial uses of all fresh (WAC 173-201A-130) and marine (WAC 173-201A-140) waters in the State of Washington.

ANTIDEGRADATION

The State of Washington's Antidegradation Policy requires that discharges into a receiving water shall not further degrade the existing water quality of the water body. In cases where the natural conditions of a receiving water are of lower quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. Similarly, when the natural conditions of a receiving water are of higher quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. More information on the State Antidegradation Policy can be obtained by referring to WAC 173-201A-070.

The Department has reviewed existing records and is unable to determine if ambient water quality is either higher or lower than the designated classification criteria given in Chapter 173-201A WAC; therefore, the Department will use the designated classification criteria for this water body in the proposed permit. The discharges authorized by this proposed permit should not cause a loss of beneficial uses.

CRITICAL CONDITIONS

Surface water quality-based limits are derived for the waterbody's critical condition, which represents the receiving water and waste discharge condition with the highest potential for adverse impact on the aquatic biota, human health, and existing or characteristic water body uses.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

## MIXING ZONES

The Water Quality Standards allow the Department of Ecology to authorize mixing zones around a point of discharge in establishing surface water quality-based effluent limits. Both "acute" and "chronic" mixing zones may be authorized for pollutants that can have a toxic effect on the aquatic environment near the point of discharge. The concentration of pollutants at the boundary of these mixing zones may not exceed the numerical criteria for that type of zone. Mixing zones can only be authorized for discharges that are receiving all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment (AKART) and in accordance with other mixing zone requirements of WAC 173-201A-100.

The National Toxics Rule (EPA, 1992) allows the chronic mixing zone to be used to meet human health criteria.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING WATER

The facility discharges to Cascade Bay, East Sound which is designated as a Class AA receiving water in the vicinity of the outfall. Characteristic uses include the following:

water supply (domestic, industrial, agricultural); stock watering; fish migration; fish and shellfish rearing, spawning and harvesting; wildlife habitat; primary contact recreation; sport fishing; boating and aesthetic enjoyment; commerce and navigation.

Water quality of this class shall markedly and uniformly exceed the requirements for all or substantially all uses.

## SURFACE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

Applicable criteria are defined in Chapter 173-201A WAC for aquatic biota. In addition, U.S. EPA has promulgated human health criteria for toxic pollutants (EPA 1992). Criteria for this discharge are summarized below:

Fecal Coliforms	100 organisms/100 mL maximum geometric mean
Dissolved Oxygen	8 mg/L minimum
Temperature	18 degrees Celsius maximum or incremental increases above background
pH	6.5 to 8.5 standard units
Turbidity	less than 5 NTUs above background
Toxics	No toxics in toxic amounts (see Appendix C for numeric criteria for toxics of concern for this discharge)

## CONSIDERATION OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMITS FOR NUMERIC CRITERIA

Pollutant concentrations in the proposed discharge exceed water quality criteria with technology-based controls which the Department has determined to be AKART. A mixing zone is authorized in accordance with the geometric configuration, flow restriction, and other restrictions for mixing zones in Chapter 173-201A WAC and are defined as follows:

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

The dilution factors of effluent to receiving water that occur within these zones have been determined at the critical condition by the use of list models, dye studies used. The dilution factors have been determined to be (from Appendix C):

	Acute	Chronic
Aquatic Life	195:1	692:1
Human Health, Carcinogen		692:1
Human Health, Non-carcinogen		692:1

Pollutants in an effluent may affect the aquatic environment near the point of discharge (near field) or at a considerable distance from the point of discharge (far field). Toxic pollutants, for example, are near-field pollutants--their adverse effects diminish rapidly with mixing in the receiving water. Conversely, a pollutant such as BOD is a far-field pollutant whose adverse effect occurs away from the discharge even after dilution has occurred. Thus, the method of calculating water quality-based effluent limits varies with the point at which the pollutant has its maximum effect.

The derivation of water quality-based limits also takes into account the variability of the pollutant concentrations in both the effluent and the receiving water.

#### HUMAN HEALTH

Washington's water quality standards now include 91 numeric health-based criteria that must be considered in NPDES permits. These criteria were promulgated for the state by the U.S. EPA in its National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992).

The Department has determined that the applicant's discharge is unlikely to contain chemicals regulated for human health. The discharge will be re-evaluated for impacts to human health at the next permit reissuance.

#### SEDIMENT QUALITY

The Department has promulgated aquatic sediment standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) to protect aquatic biota and human health. These standards state that the Department may require Permittees to evaluate the potential for the discharge to cause a violation of applicable standards (WAC 173-204-400).

The Department has determined through a review of the discharger characteristics and effluent characteristics that this discharge has no reasonable potential to violate the Sediment Management Standards.

BOD<sub>5</sub>--This discharge with technology-based limitations results in a small amount of BOD loading relative to the large amount of dilution occurring in the receiving water at critical conditions. Technology-based limitations will be protective of dissolved oxygen criteria in the receiving water.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

pH and Temperature--Because of the high buffering capacity of marine water, compliance with the technology-based pH limits of 6 to 9 will assure compliance with the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. With the large dilution achieved at the boundary of the chronic mixing zone, there is no predicted temperature violation of Water Quality Standards resulting from this effluent discharge.

Fecal Coliform--The numbers of fecal coliform were modeled by simple mixing analysis using the technology-based limit of 400 organisms per 100 ml and a dilution factor of 692:1.

Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters with the technology-based limit. Therefore, the technology-based effluent limitation for fecal coliform bacteria was placed in the proposed permit.

Toxic Pollutants--Federal regulations (40 CFR 122.44) require NPDES permits to contain effluent limits for toxic chemicals in an effluent whenever there is a reasonable potential for those chemicals to exceed the surface water quality criteria. The following toxics were determined to be present in the discharge: chlorine and ammonia. A reasonable potential analysis was conducted on these parameters to determine whether or not effluent limitations would be required in this permit.

The dilution factors used to assess the reasonable potential for these pollutants were 195:1 and 692:1 for acute and chronic mixing zone, respectively. The reasonable potential for exceeding water quality criteria was evaluated with procedures given in EPA, 1991, as shown in Appendix G.

The reasonable potential for ammonia and chlorine to exceed water quality criteria was evaluated with procedures described in EPA's Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (EPA, 1991). The highest measured effluent residual chlorine was 83.0 mg/l. It was recorded in the facility monthly report of March 2000. It is not used in the calculation. This number is significantly higher than any other violation recorded. In discussion with the operator, we were informed that it was a typographical error. The actual number is 0.83 mg/l. The highest number was recorded as 2.2 mg/l. This number was used in the calculation. The numbers were taken from March 1, 1998, to May 1, 2000. In view of the fact that the previous permit had an effluent chlorine limit of 1.0 mg/l and was able to meet; and it was met with a few exceptions, the effluent chlorine limit of 1.0 mg/l is retained as the effluent limit for this facility.

#### *GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS*

The Department has promulgated Ground Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC) to protect uses of ground water. Permits issued by the Department shall be conditioned in such a manner so as not to allow violations of those standards (WAC 173-200-100).

This Permittee has no discharge to ground and therefore no limitations are required based on potential effects to ground water.

## **MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

Monitoring, recording, and reporting are required (WAC 173-220-210 and 40 CFR 122.41) to verify that the treatment process is functioning correctly and the effluent limitations are being achieved.

Monitoring of sludge quantity and quality is necessary to determine the appropriate uses of the sludge. Sludge monitoring is required by the current state and local solid waste management program and also by EPA under 40 CFR 503.

The monitoring schedule is detailed in the proposed permit under Condition S.2. Specified monitoring frequencies take into account the quantity and variability of discharge, the treatment method, past compliance, significance of pollutants, and cost of monitoring. The required monitoring frequency is consistent with agency guidance given in the current version of Ecology's *Permit Writer's Manual* (July 1994) for Sewage Lagoons less than one mgd design flow.

### **LAB ACCREDITATION**

With the exception of certain parameters, the permit requires all monitoring data to be prepared by a laboratory registered or accredited under the provisions of Chapter 173-50 WAC, *Accreditation of Environmental Laboratories*. The laboratory at this facility is not accredited for permitted parameters and samples are tested by a private accredited laboratory.

## **OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS**

### **REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING**

The conditions of S3. are based on the authority to specify any appropriate reporting and recordkeeping requirements to prevent and control waste discharges (WAC 273-220-210).

### **PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING**

Overloading of the treatment plant is a violation of the terms and conditions of the permit. To prevent this from occurring, RCW 90.48.110 and WAC 173-220-150 require the Permittee to take the actions detailed in proposed permit requirement S.4. to plan expansions or modifications before existing capacity is reached and to report and correct conditions that could result in new or increased discharges of pollutants. Condition S.4. restricts the amount of flow.

### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M)**

The proposed permit contains condition S.5. as authorized under RCW 90.48.110, WAC 173-220-150, Chapter 173-230 WAC, and WAC 173-240-080. It is included to ensure proper operation and regular maintenance of equipment, and to ensure that adequate safeguards are taken so that constructed facilities are used to their optimum potential in terms of pollutant capture and treatment.

### *RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING*

To prevent water quality problems, the Permittee is required in permit condition S7. to store and handle all residual solids (grit, screenings, scum, sludge, and other solid waste) in accordance with the requirements of RCW 90.48.080 and State Water Quality Standards.

The final use and disposal of sewage sludge from this facility is regulated by U.S. EPA under 40 CFR 503. The disposal of other solid waste is under the jurisdiction of the San Juan County Health Department.

### *Wastewater Permit Required*

RCW 90.48 and WAC 173-216-040 require SIUs to obtain a permit prior to discharge of industrial waste to the Permittee's sewerage system. This provision prohibits the POTW from accepting industrial wastewater from any such dischargers without authorization from the Department.

### *Requirements for Routine Identification and Reporting of Industrial Users*

The NPDES permit requires non-delegated POTWs to "take continuous, routine measures to identify all existing, new, and proposed SIUs discharging to the Permittee's sewerage system." Examples of such routine measures include regular review of business tax licenses for existing businesses and review of water billing records and existing connection authorization records. System maintenance personnel can also be diligent during performance of their jobs in identifying and reporting as-yet unidentified industrial dischargers. Local newspapers, telephone directories, and word-of-mouth can also be important sources of information regarding new or existing discharges. The POTW is required to notify an industrial discharger, in writing, of their responsibilities regarding application for a State waste discharge permit and to send a copy of the written notification to the Department. The Department will then take steps to solicit a State waste discharge permit application.

### *Duty to Enforce Discharge Prohibitions*

This provision prohibits the POTW from authorizing or permitting an industrial discharger to discharge certain types of waste into the sanitary sewer. The first portion of the provision prohibits acceptance of pollutants which cause pass through or interference. The definitions of pass through and interference are in Appendix B of the fact sheet.

The second portion of this provision prohibits the POTW from accepting certain specific types of wastes, namely those which are explosive, flammable, excessively acidic, basic, otherwise corrosive, or obstructive to the system. In addition, wastes with excessive BOD, petroleum-based oils, or which result in toxic gases are prohibited to be discharged. The regulatory basis for these prohibitions is 40 CFR Part 403, with the exception of the pH provisions which are based on WAC 173-216-060.

The third portion of this provision prohibits certain types of discharges unless the POTW receives prior authorization from the Department. The discharges include cooling water in significant volumes, stormwater and other direct inflow sources, and wastewaters significantly affecting system hydraulic loading, which do not require treatment.



### ***OUTFALL EVALUATION***

Proposed permit condition S8, requires the Permittee to conduct an outfall inspection and submit a report detailing the findings of that inspection. The purpose of the inspection is to determine the condition of the discharge pipe and diffusers and to determine if sediment is accumulating in the vicinity of the outfall.

### ***GENERAL CONDITIONS***

General Conditions are based directly on state and federal law and regulations and have been standardized for all individual municipal NPDES permits issued by the Department.

Condition G1 requires responsible officials or their designated representatives to sign submittals to the Department. Condition G2 requires the Permittee to allow the Department to access the treatment system, production facility, and records related to the permit. Condition G3 specifies conditions for modifying, suspending, or terminating the permit. Condition G4 requires the Permittee to apply to the Department prior to increasing or varying the discharge from the levels stated in the permit application. Condition G5 requires the Permittee to construct, modify, and operate the permitted facility in accordance with approved engineering documents. Condition G6 prohibits the Permittee from using the permit as a basis for violating any laws, statutes, or regulations. Conditions G7 relates to permit renewal. Condition G8 prohibits the reintroduction of removed substances back into the effluent. Condition G9 states that the Department will modify or revoke and reissue the permit to conform to more stringent toxic effluent standards or prohibitions. Condition G10 incorporates by reference all other requirements of 40 CFR 122.41 and 122.42. Condition G11 notifies the Permittee that additional monitoring requirements may be established by the Department. Condition G12 requires the payment of permit fees. Condition G13 describes the penalties for violating permit conditions.

## **PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES**

### ***PERMIT MODIFICATIONS***

The Department may modify this permit to impose numerical limitations, if necessary, to meet Water Quality Standards, Sediment Quality Standards, or Ground Water Standards, based on new information obtained from sources such as inspections, effluent monitoring, outfall studies, and effluent mixing studies.

The Department may also modify this permit as a result of new or amended state or federal regulations.

### ***RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE***

This proposed permit meets all statutory requirements for authorizing a wastewater discharge, including those limitations and conditions believed necessary to protect human health, aquatic life, and the beneficial uses of waters of the State of Washington. The Department proposes that this permit be issued for five (5) years.

## **REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES**

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

1992. National Toxics Rule. Federal Register, V. 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.

1991. Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control. EPA/505/2-90-001.

1988. Technical Guidance on Supplementary Stream Design Conditions for Steady State Modeling. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

1985. Water Quality Assessment: A Screening Procedure for Toxic and Conventional Pollutants in Surface and Ground Water. EPA/600/6-85/002a.

1983. Water Quality Standards Handbook. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

Metcalf and Eddy.

1991. Wastewater Engineering, Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse. Third Edition.

Tsivoglou, E.C., and J.R. Wallace.

1972. Characterization of Stream Reaeration Capacity. EPA-R3-72-012. (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

Washington State Department of Ecology.

1994. Permit Writer's Manual. Publication Number 92-109

Water Pollution Control Federation.

1976. Chlorination of Wastewater.

Wright, R.M., and A.J. McDonnell.

1979. In-stream Deoxygenation Rate Prediction. Journal Environmental Engineering Division, ASCE. 105(E2). (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)



## **APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION**

The Department has tentatively determined to reissue a permit to the applicant listed on page one of this fact sheet. The permit contains conditions and effluent limitations, which are described in the rest of this fact sheet.

Public Notice of Application was published on January 19 and January 26, 2000 in the Journal of the San Juans to inform the public that an application had been submitted and to invite comment on the reissuance of this permit.

The Department published a Public Notice of Draft (PNOD) on January 3, 2001, in the Journal of the San Juans to inform the public that a draft permit and fact sheet were available for review. Interested persons were invited to submit written comments regarding the draft permit. The draft permit, fact sheet, and related documents were available for inspection and copying between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. weekdays, by appointment, at the regional office listed below. Written comments should be mailed to:

Water Quality Permit Coordinator  
Department of Ecology  
Northwest Regional Office  
3190 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE  
Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Any interested party may comment on the draft permit or request a public hearing on this draft permit within the thirty (30) day comment period to the address above. The request for a hearing shall indicate the interest of the party and the reasons why the hearing is warranted. The Department will hold a hearing if it determines there is a significant public interest in the draft permit (WAC 173-220-090). Public notice regarding any hearing will be circulated at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing. People expressing an interest in this permit will be mailed an individual notice of hearing (WAC 173-220-100).

The Department will consider all comments received within thirty (30) days from the date of public notice of draft indicated above, in formulating a final determination to issue, revise, or deny the permit. The Department's response to all significant comments is available upon request and will be mailed directly to people expressing an interest in this permit.

Further information may be obtained from the Department by telephone, 425-649 -7146, or by writing to the address listed above.

This permit and fact sheet were written by Bernard Jones.

## **APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY**

**Acute Toxicity**--The lethal effect of a pollutant on an organism that occurs within a short period of time, usually 48 to 96 hours.

**AKART**--An acronym for "all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment."

**Ambient Water Quality**--The existing environmental condition of the water in a receiving water body.

**Ammonia**--Ammonia is produced by the breakdown of nitrogenous materials in wastewater. Ammonia is toxic to aquatic organisms, exerts an oxygen demand, and contributes to eutrophication. It also increases the amount of chlorine needed to disinfect wastewater.

**Average Monthly Discharge Limitation**--The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month (except in the case of fecal coliform). The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Average Weekly Discharge Limitation**--The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Best Management Practices (BMPs)**--Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other physical, structural, and/or managerial practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs include treatment systems, operating procedures, and practices to control: plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. BMPs may be further categorized as operational, source control, erosion and sediment control, and treatment BMPs.

**BOD<sub>5</sub>**--Determining the Biochemical Oxygen Demand of an effluent is an indirect way of measuring the quantity of organic material present in an effluent that is utilized by bacteria. The BOD<sub>5</sub> is used in modeling to measure the reduction of dissolved oxygen in a receiving water after effluent is discharged. Stress caused by reduced dissolved oxygen levels makes organisms less competitive and less able to sustain their species in the aquatic environment. Although BOD is not a specific compound, it is defined as a conventional pollutant under the federal Clean Water Act.

**Bypass**--The intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

**Chlorine**--Chlorine is used to disinfect wastewaters of pathogens harmful to human health. It is also extremely toxic to aquatic life.

**Chronic Toxicity**--The effect of a pollutant on an organism over a relatively long time, often 1/10 of an organism's lifespan or more. Chronic toxicity can measure survival, reproduction or growth rates, or other parameters to measure the toxic effects of a compound or combination of compounds.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

**Clean Water Act (CWA)**--The Federal Water Pollution Control Act enacted by Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Laws 95-217, 95-576, 96-483, 97-117; USC 1251 et seq.

**Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)**--The event during which excess combined sewage flow caused by inflow is discharged from a combined sewer, rather than conveyed to the sewage treatment plant because either the capacity of the treatment plant or the combined sewer is exceeded.

**Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling**--A site visit for the purpose of determining the compliance of a facility with the terms and conditions of its permit or with applicable statutes and regulations.

**Compliance Inspection - With Sampling**--A site visit to accomplish the purpose of a Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling and as a minimum, sampling and analysis for all parameters with limits in the permit to ascertain compliance with those limits; and, for municipal facilities, sampling of influent to ascertain compliance with the percent removal requirement. Additional sampling may be conducted.

**Composite Sample**--A mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of four discrete samples. May be "time-composite" (collected at constant time intervals) or "flow-proportional" (collected either as a constant sample volume at time intervals proportional to stream flow, or collected by increasing the volume of each aliquot as the flow increased while maintaining a constant time interval between the aliquots.

**Construction Activity**--Clearing, grading, excavation, and any other activity which disturbs the surface of the land. Such activities may include road building, construction of residential houses, office buildings, or industrial buildings, and demolition activity.

**Critical Condition**--The time during which the combination of receiving water and waste discharge conditions have the highest potential for causing toxicity in the receiving water environment. This situation usually occurs when the flow within a water body is low, thus, its ability to dilute effluent is reduced.

**Dilution Factor**--A measure of the amount of mixing of effluent and receiving water that occurs at the boundary of the mixing zone. Expressed as the inverse of the effluent fraction, e.g., a dilution factor of 10 means the effluent comprises 10% by volume and the receiving water 90%.

**Engineering Report**--A document which thoroughly examines the engineering and administrative aspects of a particular domestic or industrial wastewater facility. The report shall contain the appropriate information required in WAC 173-240-060 or 173-240-130.

**Fecal Coliform Bacteria**--Fecal coliform bacteria are used as indicators of pathogenic bacteria in the effluent that are harmful to humans. Pathogenic bacteria in wastewater discharges are controlled by disinfecting the wastewater. The presence of high numbers of fecal coliform bacteria in a water body can indicate the recent release of untreated wastewater and/or the presence of animal feces.

**Grab Sample**--A single sample or measurement taken at a specific time or over as short period of time as is feasible.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

**Industrial User**--A discharger of wastewater to the sanitary sewer which is not sanitary wastewater or is not equivalent to sanitary wastewater in character.

**Industrial Wastewater**--Water or liquid-carried waste from industrial or commercial processes, as distinct from domestic wastewater. These wastes may result from any process or activity of industry, manufacture, trade or business, from the development of any natural resource, or from animal operations such as feed lots, poultry houses, or dairies. The term includes contaminated storm water and, also, leachate from solid waste facilities.

**Infiltration and Inflow (I/I)**--"Infiltration" means the addition of ground water into a sewer through joints, the sewer pipe material, cracks, and other defects. "Inflow" means the addition of precipitation-caused drainage from roof drains, yard drains, basement drains, street catch basins, etc., into a sewer.

**Interference**--A discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:

Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal; and

Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent State or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) [including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including State regulations contained in any State sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA], sludge regulations appearing in 40 CFR Part 507, the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.

**Major Facility**--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of >80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

**Maximum Daily Discharge Limitation**--The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

**Method Detection Level (MDL)**--The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is above zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

**Minor Facility**--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of <80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

**Mixing Zone**--A volume that surrounds an effluent discharge within which water quality criteria may be exceeded. The area of the authorized mixing zone is specified in a facility's permit and follows procedures outlined in State regulations (Chapter 173-201A WAC).

**National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)**--The NPDES (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act) is the Federal wastewater permitting system for discharges to navigable waters of the United States. Many states, including the State of Washington, have been delegated the authority to issue these permits. NPDES permits issued by Washington State permit writers are joint NPDES/State permits issued under both State and Federal laws.

**Pass Through**--A discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the State in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation), or which is a cause of a violation of State water quality standards.

**pH**--The pH of a liquid measures its acidity or alkalinity. A pH of 7 is defined as neutral, and large variations above or below this value are considered harmful to most aquatic life.

**Potential Significant Industrial User**--A potential significant industrial user is defined as an Industrial User which does not meet the criteria for a Significant Industrial User, but which discharges wastewater meeting one or more of the following criteria:

- a. Exceeds 0.5 % of treatment plant design capacity criteria and discharges <25,000 gallons per day; or
- b. Is a member of a group of similar industrial users which, taken together, have the potential to cause pass through or interference at the POTW (e.g., facilities which develop photographic film or paper, and car washes).

The Department may determine that a discharger initially classified as a potential significant industrial user should be managed as a significant industrial user.

**Quantitation Level (QL)**--A calculated value five (5) times the MDL (method detection level).

**Significant Industrial User (SIU)**--

- 1) All industrial users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 CFR 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N; and
- 2) Any other industrial user that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the POTW (excluding sanitary, non-contact cooling, and boiler blow-down wastewater); contributes a process wastestream that makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority\* on the basis that the industrial user has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement [in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6)].

Upon finding that the industrial user meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, above, has no reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement, the Control Authority\* may at any time, on its own initiative or in response to a petition received from an industrial user or POTW, and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6), determine that such industrial user is not a significant industrial user.

\*The term "Control Authority" refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology in the case of non-delegated POTWs or to the POTW in the case of delegated POTWs.

*FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-002989-1*  
*Rosario Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant*

**State Waters**--Lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, wetlands, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.

**Stormwater**--That portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, interflow, pipes, and other features of a storm water drainage system into a defined surface water body, or a constructed infiltration facility.

**Technology-based Effluent Limit**--A permit limit that is based on the ability of a treatment method to reduce the pollutant.

**Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**--Total suspended solids are the particulate materials in an effluent. Large quantities of TSS discharged to a receiving water may result in solids accumulation. Apart from any toxic effects attributable to substances leached out by water, suspended solids may kill fish, shellfish, and other aquatic organisms by causing abrasive injuries and by clogging the gills and respiratory passages of various aquatic fauna. Indirectly, suspended solids can screen out light and can promote and maintain the development of noxious conditions through oxygen depletion.

**Upset**--An exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

**Water Quality-based Effluent Limit**--A limit on the concentration or mass of an effluent parameter that is intended to prevent the concentration of that parameter from exceeding its water quality criterion after it is discharged into a receiving water.

### **APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS**

Several of the Excel® spreadsheet tools used to evaluate a discharger's ability to meet Washington State water quality standards can be found on the Department's homepage at <http://www.wa.gov/ecology>.